

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/673,001   | 10/06/2000     | Robert Kroie         | 2354/110                | 2354/110 7390   |  |
| 7:   | 590 12/17/2002 |                      |                         |                 |  |
| Michael L Goldman Nixon Peabody LLP Clinton Square |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |  |
|  |                |                      | MCDERMOTT, KEVIN        |                 |  |
| PO Box 31051<br>Rochester, NY                      | 14603          |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|  |                |                      | 3635                    |                 |  |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002 | !               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| *   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/673,001   | KROIE, ROBERT  |  |  |  |  |
| Advicery Action   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | McDermott, Kevin   | 3635   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment whicl<br>I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel  | ation. A proper reply to a   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR  | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b   | pelow);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: The new issue is end regions extending  | inwardly of the opposite major surfa   | ces.   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>  | ion(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |  | dered but does NOT place the   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY to  | o issues which were newly  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-16, 19-31, 33, and 37.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a) approved or b) disapp   | roved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Peper No(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ Other:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carl D: Friedman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Supervisory Patent Examine<br>Group 3600   | <b>9</b> 7   |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office